Perioesthetic Approach to the Diagnosis and Treatment of Carious and Noncarious Cervical Lesions: Part I
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ABSTRACT
Several factors can contribute to the development of noncarious cervical lesions. Therefore, these lesions can be described and classified according to their primary etiology. Traditionally, most dentists have treated noncarious cervical lesions only with restorative methods, for example, composite resin restorations. However, in many cases, a periodontal or a combined restorative/periodontal approach provides a better esthetic and functional result. In part I of this two-part report, we provide a review of noncarious cervical lesions and a series of clinical case reports showing surgical techniques used and the importance of the periodontal aspect of lesion management.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE
For best esthetic results, the periodontal aspect of noncarious cervical lesions must be considered in treatment planning.


Erosive dental lesions, including noncarious cervical lesions (Figure 1), have been described in the literature for many years, and theories concerning their etiology have abounded for almost 150 years. As early as 1862, G.V. Black stated that the etiology was unexplainable and requested that his colleagues share facts on the subject to accumulate data for a possible explanation in the future.1–3 Some of the theories proposed for causation included a disease inherent in the tooth or in the composition of saliva and the friction of the lips; mechanical agents or mechanical agents in the presence of alkalis or acids; friction of folds of the mucous membranes; exfoliation; acids or acids in combination with mechanical agents; electrolytic action; defective development; and resorption.3,4 Erosion was compared by Edwin Darby to the uric acid theory of gout: “Erosion, like gout, is a disease of advanced civilization.”3

In 1907 W.D. Miller4 suggested that erosive lesions had “a multiplicity
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of names no one of which is fitted to all of the conditions and phenomena present.” The collective term for wearing away of tooth substance was “wasting.” Specific categories of wear included the slow and gradual loss of tooth tissue by friction (“abrasion”), the rubbing of teeth against each other during mastication (“attrition”), the effects of chemical agents (“erosion”), and the effects of mechanical and chemical agents combined (“chemico-abrasion”).

In 1931 W.I. Ferrier described dental erosion as a gradual disintegration of enamel without the caries. He stated, “Its etiology seems to be shrouded in mystery.”1,2 In 1932 Kornfeld described his observation of wear facets on the articulating surfaces of teeth involved with cervical erosion.3 However, it was not until 1982 that McCoy first reported the breaking or chipping of tooth substance as a result of occlusal forces and that these lesions occurred in both dentin and enamel and could result in tooth fracture.6

The current scientific classification categorizes the forms of tooth substance loss according to Miller4 as attrition, abrasion, and erosion. An additional category, initially reported by McCoy and defined by Grippo, was named abfraction, derived from the Latin roots that translate as away and breaking.6

**CLASSIFICATION OF NONCARIOUS LESIONS**

The four recognized categories of noncavitous cervical lesions are defined and described as follows:

- Erosion is a chemically induced loss of tooth substance from intrinsic or extrinsic origin occurring mainly from acid dissolution. The intrinsic form of erosion can be caused by regurgitation of gastric acids, as occurs with habitual vomiting associated with bulimia, anorexia nervosa, hiatal hernia, and pregnancy morning sickness.6–15 The external form can be caused by diet (e.g., carbonated soft drinks, candies that contain phosphoric or citric acid, citrus fruits or juices, and “baby bottle syndrome”), airborne acids such as industrial chemicals, and chlorinated swimming pool water.6–18
- Attrition is the physiologic wear of tooth substance caused by normal tooth-to-tooth contact (i.e., incisal, occlusal, and interproximal wear from mastication).5,19
- Abrasion is the pathologic wear of tooth substance caused by abnormal mechanical forces (e.g., excessive and improper toothbrushing and improper oral habits such as biting fingernails, bruxism, biting a pipe stem, holding nails between the teeth, and opening hair pins).6,19–21
- Abfraction is the pathologic wear of tooth substance by biomechanical loading forces, primarily at the cervical regions of the dentition. However, it can be manifested also as occlusal invaginations resulting from excessive eccentric loading from parafunctional habits such as clenching and bruxism.6,22,23

Although this classification allows for a better understanding of the causes and treatment of the lesions, several concomitant effects (bio-
chemical, biomechanical, and bioelectric processes) may be responsible for the development of noncarious cervical lesions.\textsuperscript{7,21,22,24} Because a particular lesion can result from one or more of these etiologic factors, from a clinical perspective the criteria used for a differential diagnosis must be based on direct clinical examination, a comprehensive review of the patient's medical and dental history, an inspection of the patient's occlusion for symptoms and clinical signs of trauma, and the morphologic characteristics of the lesion.\textsuperscript{20,24}

**Morphologic Characteristics of Noncarious Cervical Lesions**

The extrinsic form of erosive lesions caused by ingestion of acidic foods, beverages, and medications is generally U-shaped or disk-shaped, broad, and shallow. These lesions often have poorly defined margins, and the adjacent enamel is smooth, shiny, and free of developmental ridges. The extrinsic form of erosion results from exogenous acids such as dietary acids, fruit juices, and ascorbic acid in sport drinks and candies; this erosion is generally located on the facial surfaces of the anterior teeth (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{7,8,20}

The intrinsic form of erosive lesions, caused by reflux of gastric contents\textsuperscript{1} or regurgitation, is generally located on the lingual and incisal surfaces of maxillary anterior teeth and appears as flattened wear. Erosive lesions usually are free from plaque accumulation unless sensitivity prevents adequate oral hygiene.\textsuperscript{16,25}

Attrition lesions usually occur on the occlusal surfaces, incisal edges, and lingual surfaces of maxillary anterior teeth and labial surfaces of mandibular anterior teeth (Figure 3A and B). The teeth are worn in flat facets that can be attributed to the functional movements of the dentition. Also, attrition can occur on proximal surfaces as a result of the anterior component of force, where small horizontal and vertical movements of teeth occur during function, thus causing frictional wear.\textsuperscript{6,7,16,20,21,26-28}

The morphologic characteristics of cervical lesions produced by abrasive forces generally have sharply defined margins and a hard smooth surface that may exhibit scratching (Figure 4). The cervical abrasion lesion is commonly produced by improper toothbrushing techniques, and the interproximal lesion is caused by friction from objects such as toothpicks. These abrasive lesions are usually free of plaque and are not discolored.\textsuperscript{21}

Abrasion lesions typically are irregular V- or wedge-shaped cervical lesions (Figure 5A). The shape of the lesion depends on the relative areas of compression and tension exerted by occlusal forces. If the cusp is put into a state of tension, the resultant cervical defect is wedge shaped; conversely, if the cervical region is subjected to compressive stresses, the defect is more concave or saucer shaped. Circular occlusal lesions also can develop in the enamel and dentin to form...
occlusal cusp tip invaginations (Figure 5B). 

After considering all factors related to tooth substance loss from erosion, attrition, abrasion, abfraction, or a combination of these processes, a differential diagnosis should be developed. This differential diagnosis provides information for determining etiology and can require additional information such as age, diet, oral hygiene routine, medical and dental factors, abnormal oral habits, and occlusal idiosyncrasies. The information acquired during the differential diagnosis allows for a methodical approach for preventive and restorative therapy.

A review of the literature indicates that restorative therapy mainly includes operative procedures for reconstructing hard tissue, without much consideration of final overall esthetic result. Optimal functional and esthetic results may require periodontal as well as operative procedures, or perhaps a combination of the two. This two-part article provides the clinician with a perioesthetic approach for diagnosing and treating carious and noncarious cervical lesions.

**Treatment Considerations**

Cox asserts, "Most Class V lesions are not due to dental caries, and their treatment often strains the limits of technology and esthetics." A few of the reasons for periodontal and/or operative restorative therapy of carious and/or noncarious lesions include the following:

- Facilitation of self-cleansing and hygiene procedures
- Reduction of cervical dentin sensitivity
Figure 5. A and B, The abrasion lesion appears irregular in shape, typically as a V- or wedge-shaped cervical lesion and as occlusal circular lesions on the enamel and dentin to form occlusal cusp tip invaginations.

- Improvement of esthetics
- Restoration of normal anatomic contours
- Improvement of gingival health and symmetry
- Reduction of plaque retention
- Reduction of irritation to surrounding soft tissue
- Prevention of root caries
- Strengthening of the tooth
- Prevention of pulpal involvement
- Provision of a moderator to the effects of the piezoelectric phenomenon
- Diminishment of the progress of the lesion, tooth flexure, and stress concentrations
- Prevention of root fracture
- Re-creation of appropriate coronal tooth length
- Maintenance of the gingival contour

Preoperative considerations and procedures may include preventive measures such as fluoride therapy, iontophoresis, brushing with desensitizing dentifrices, professional application of potassium oxalate or other tubule-occluding agents, application of dentin adhesives, occlusal adjustments, dietary instruction, toothbrushing and oral hygiene instruction, discontinuation of poor oral habits, and occlusal guard fabrication.

The restorative therapy for the reconstruction of these cervical lesions may require an interdisciplinary diagnosis and treatment plan that includes the input of other members of the restorative team, including the general dentist, periodontist, orthodontist, and ceramist. Treatment may involve periodontal plastic surgery, orthodontic measures, and operative procedures. The periodontal procedures include free autogenous mucosal grafts, subepithelial connective tissue grafts, the coronally advanced flap technique, guided periodontal tissue regeneration, and enamel matrix derivative grafts.

Restorative methods can involve the use of conventional glass ionomers, resin-modified glass ionomers, compomers, flowable composites, hybrid composites, microfill composites, laboratory-processed composite and porcelain veneers, laboratory-processed inlays and crowns, all-ceramic inlays and crowns, and porcelain-fused-to-metal crowns and bridges. Orthodontic therapy can involve intrusion, rotation, uprighting, extrusion,
space closure, and restoration of a functional occlusion.\textsuperscript{65}

After the diagnostic phase and any appropriate preventive strategies are in place, the concern focuses on the direction of the restorative treatment; this requires determination of the treatment sequence. The treatment depends on the amount of gingival recession, the location and the size of the carious or noncarious lesion,\textsuperscript{24} and the lesion's relationship to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ). A periodontal reconstructive approach should be considered when there is root exposure, when the carious or noncarious lesion is apical to the CEJ, and when it is possible to remove the caries or existing restoration and achieve a relatively flat root surface without endangering the pulp (Figure 6A and B). The carious lesion or recurrent decay on an existing restoration coronal to the CEJ should be removed and restored before surgical treatment. Restorations below the CEJ should be removed because the presence of restorative materials on the root surface precludes the ability to perform root coverage procedures.\textsuperscript{66} In addition, a restorative-only approach should be considered if the carious or noncarious lesion is coronal to the CEJ without gingival recession (Figure 7). The remainder of this article describes the reconstructive periodontal plastic surgery procedures for the carious and noncarious lesion with gingival recession.

In 1985, in order to identify, recognize, and categorize gingival recession in relation to the amount of root coverage anticipated, Miller described four categories for recession-type defects\textsuperscript{67}:

- Class I. Marginal tissue recession that has not extended to the mucogingival junction. There is no loss of interdental bone or soft tissue, and complete root coverage can be achieved (Figure 8).
- Class II. Marginal tissue recession that extends to or beyond the mucogingival junction. There is no loss of interdental bone or soft
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  \caption{The carious or noncarious lesion that is subgingival to the CEJ requires the removal of the caries and/or restoration to achieve a relatively flat root surface without endangering the pulp.}
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tissue, and complete root coverage can be achieved (Figure 9).

- Class III. Marginal tissue recession that extends to or beyond the mucogingival junction, and there is loss of interdental bone. The interdental soft tissue is apical to the CEJ but remains coronal to the apical extent of the marginal tissue recession. The teeth may be malpositioned. Only partial root coverage can be achieved to the height of the contour of the interproximal tissue (Figure 10).

- Class IV. Marginal tissue recession that extends beyond the mucogingival junction. There is loss of interdental bone and soft tissue to a level corresponding to the apical extent of the marginal tissue recession or severe malpositioning of the teeth. Root coverage is unpredictable and requires adjunctive (orthodontic) treatment (Figure 11).

Periodontal plastic surgery procedures should be part of the clinician’s recipe for restoring the den-
togingival complex. Traditionally, restorative therapy of teeth with gingival recession and carious or noncarious lesions has been achieved through operative procedures with little attention to the overall esthetic picture. In contrast, the perioesthetic approach considers the harmonious integration and interrelationship of the gingiva and tooth complex.

The periodontal plastic surgery procedures available for the treatment and correction of gingival recession
include free gingival autografts, subepithelial connective tissue grafts, coronally positioned flaps, guided tissue regeneration, and enamel matrix derivative grafts. These soft tissue grafts are indicated for the restoration of noncarious and carious cervical radicular lesions and for previously restored class V restorations associated with gingival recession.

PERIODONTAL PLASTIC SURGERY PROCEDURES

Periodontal plastic surgery can be divided into two clinical subdivisions that can be identified according to the presence of an adequate or inadequate zone of keratinized attached gingiva. Although infrequent, clinical situations occur in which an adequate zone of keratinized attached tissue exists and gingival recession is present. This requires only a coronal repositioning of the existing gingival tissue with a coronally positioned or a semilunar flap. However, most clinical situations that involve gingival recession also involve a deficiency of keratinized attached gingiva, which requires mucogingival surgery. The first technique was the thick free autogenous gingival graft, presented by Miller, which allowed predictable root coverage around teeth and an increase in attached gingiva and vestibular depth. The color variation in the earlier technique resulted in the use of thinner grafts, which improved the color and reduced shrinkage of the graft.

The subepithelial connective tissue graft technique, popularized in the 1980s by Langer and Calagna to correct ridge concavities, was modified and combined with a coronally positioned flap to treat gingival recession. This procedure uses collateral blood supply from the mucogingival flap and the periodontium of the recipient bed and produces a better color match as a result of the thinner connective tissue graft and the overlying native mucogingival flap. Although numerous modifications have been made to this technique over the years, it remains the gold standard for root coverage.

For obvious reasons, limited human histologic evidence exists regarding the type of attachment that is achieved when denuded roots are covered by grafts. No one particular technique seems to predictably provide regeneration (new bone, cementum, and insertion of periodontal ligament fibers) more frequently than repair (a soft tissue adaptation to the root surface). If the etiology is controlled, root coverage grafts tend to remain stable with minimal probing depth regardless of the type of root surface attachment achieved. True regeneration remains the goal, and biomimetics and tissue engineering hold great promise in allowing us to achieve stability on a more predictable basis. These new therapies of the future may require clinicians to “rewrite the rules of the game.”

Case Studies

Surgical Procedure 1. A 23-year-old woman presented in the mid-1980s with a chief complaint of root surface sensitivity on her maxillary canine. She had been advised previously that a class V composite restoration would be the most effective treatment alternative to correct her problem. Oral examination revealed, among other things, that significant parafunctional habits had contributed to the recession, which was classified as Miller’s class I (Figure 12). The

![Figure 12. Case study 1. Facial view of the maxillary left cuspid with a preexisting defective composite restoration and a class I gingival recession-type defect.](image-url)
patient reviewed the benefits and risks of a root coverage graft and consented to treatment. After the construction of a hard acrylic bite guard, the root was scaled and planed under local anesthetic, and citric acid was used to remove the smear layer. A partial-thickness bed was made extending approximately half a tooth's width mesial and distal to the area of recession. The width of the bed on either side of the recession was necessary to provide vascularity to the graft over the denuded avascular root surface. A thick free autogenous graft was harvested from the palate (Figure 13) and sutured to the bed (Figure 14).

The patient's schedule prevented her from having the contralateral side grafted, and she was not seen at the practice for 5 years. When she returned it was evident that the first treatment had been successful; the denuded root surface was completely covered with the thick free autogenous graft, and there was no evidence of further recession (Figure 15). The other maxillary canine and lateral incisor had continued to experience gingival recession, and an abractive-type lesion was noted on the facial aspect of the canine below the CEJ (Figure 16). The patient had not worn her bite guard for the previous 3 years. She was reminded of the importance of wearing the appliance on a consistent basis, and the bite guard was adjusted.
The root surface of the contralateral maxillary canine was scaled and planed under local anesthetic. The root surface on the lateral incisor was not sensitive and, because of financial constraints, the patient chose only to graft the canine. Newer grafting techniques allowed for a smaller bed limited just to the tooth being grafted. This more conservative bed size was made possible by the development of a connective tissue grafting technique that preserved the mucogingival flap, which increased blood supply to the graft. Note how much thinner the connective tissue is in this technique (Figure 17) when compared with the free autogenous graft in the older technique (see Figure 17). The connective tissue was sutured over the bed (Figure 18), and the mucogingival flap was coronally advanced over the connective tissue (Figure 19). At 6 months postoperatively, one can see complete root coverage and a more esthetic result (Figure 20) than in the graft performed on the other maxillary canine 5 years earlier (see Figure 15).

**Surgical Procedure 2.** A 30-year-old man presented to the office after being told that the class V restoration on his maxillary canine needed to be replaced (Figure 21). As this was the second time in 3 years that the restoration had
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**Figure 17.** Case study 1. Thin connective tissue graft harvested from the palate.

**Figure 18.** Case study 1. The thin connective tissue graft is sutured over the smaller recipient bed, which is limited to the tooth being grafted.

**Figure 19.** Case study 1. The mucogingival flap is coronally advanced over the connective tissue and sutured in place.

**Figure 20.** Case study 1. A 6-month postoperative facial view reveals complete root coverage with a harmonious dentogingival complex.
required replacement, the patient was seeking an alternative solution. Upon examination the recession was classified as Miller’s class II; therefore, one could expect complete root coverage on an unrestored root. The patient was advised of the risks and benefits of the procedure, which included the possibility that a graft might not be possible if it was found that the restoration extended too far axially. That scenario would create a “dead space” too large to be bridged by the graft. The patient accepted the risks and agreed to treatment.

The restoration was removed under local anesthetic, and the class V restorative preparation was eliminated with vigorous root planing using hand instruments and high-speed finishing burs. The area was treated using the same grafting technique as presented in the previous case (see Figures 16 through 20). A 2-year postoperative photograph (Figure 22) shows no further recession and no visual signs of inflammation. Note the improved esthetic outcome with more appropriate tooth length and gingival contours.

Surgical Procedure 3. This 26-year-old male patient presented with Miller’s class I recession and caries below the CEJ (Figure 23). After obtaining informed consent, the caries was removed under local anesthetic, and the root surface was scaled and planed, removing any significant dead spaces. Citric acid

Figure 21. Case study 2. Facial view of the maxillary left cuspid with a preexisting defective composite restoration and a class II gingival recession-type defect.

Figure 22. Case study 2. A 2-year postoperative result reveals no further recession and a harmonious soft and hard tissue integration without the use of restorative materials.

Figure 23. Case study 3. Facial view of the maxillary left cuspid with caries apical to the CEJ and a class I gingival recession-type defect.
Surgical Procedure 4. A 57-year-old female patient presented to the dental office with concerns of sensitivity on the maxillary left canine. The tooth was found to have Miller’s class I recession and cervical abrasion below the CEJ (Figure 25). After restorative examination and consultation, the dentist and patient decided to include evaluation by the periodontist, who felt that a periodontal approach would restore the balance of the dentogingival unit. In addition, the restorative team determined that, because of the occlusal wear and history of parafunctional habits, an occlusal guard should be constructed before periodontal surgery ensued. A dual-laminate acrylic occlusal guard (Figure 26) was designed and fabricated with a flat plane of occlusion, so all teeth would touch evenly in all excursions without anterior disclusion.

Upon reviewing the benefits and risks of a root coverage graft, the patient agreed to treatment. Following administration of local anesthetic, a partial-thickness flap was elevated (Figure 27). After scaling and root planing to smooth the abraded root surface, the root was modified with PrefGel™ (Biora AB, Malmö, Sweden), and an enamel matrix derivative, Endogain® Gel

Figure 24. Case study 3. A 6-month postoperative facial view reveals soft and hard tissue integration without the use of restorative materials.

Figure 25. Case study 4. Facial view of the maxillary left cuspid with cervical abrasion apical to the CEJ and a class I gingival recession-type defect.

Figure 26. Case study 4. A dual-laminate acrylic occlusal guard allows a flat plane of occlusion so that all teeth touch evenly in all excursions without anterior disclusion.
(Biora AB), was applied to the root surface (Figures 28 and 29) in an effort to facilitate regeneration. PrefGel consists of 24% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and removes the smear layer from the root surface. The mucogingival flap was coronally advanced over the denuded root surface (Figure 30) and sutured laterally and interproximally (Figure 31A and B). A 1-year postoperative photograph (Figure 32A and B) demonstrates complete root coverage. The probing depth was < 2 mm. This procedure achieved root coverage without the need for a secondary surgical site to harvest the donor tissue.

CONCLUSIONS

Although management of any clinical situation begins with prevention, knowledge of the etiology of cervical lesions and recession and an understanding of the various therapeutic methods provide the clinician with alternative solutions for the perioesthetic dilemma. As this article illustrates through clinical presentations, often a periodontal rather than an operative approach should be considered when gingival recession is associated with a cervical lesion.

Part II of this article will discuss the operative procedures and restorative materials available for restorative therapy of carious and noncarious cervical lesions.
Figure 31. Case study 4. A and B, Suturing of the mucogingival flap laterally and interproximally.

Figure 32. Case study 4. A and B, A 1-year postoperative facial view demonstrates complete root coverage and a harmonious dentogingival complex without the use of restorative materials.
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